



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SHALIMAR BEACH,

Plaintiff,

3:11-cv-00007-HDM-VPC

vs.

VERDICT

WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, find the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

QUESTION NO. 1: Was the defendant negligent?

Answer "yes" or "no"

Yes

No

Answer: _____

If you answer Question No. 1 "no" as to the defendant, sign and return this verdict, and the court will then enter judgment in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff.

If you answer Question No. 1 "yes" as to the defendant, then answer Question No. 2 as to such defendant.

QUESTION NO. 2: Was such negligence a proximate cause of

1 injury to the plaintiff?

2 Answer "yes" or "no."

3 Yes No

4 Answer: _____

5 If you answer Question No. 2 "no," sign and return this verdict,
6 and the court will then enter judgment in favor of the defendant
7 and against the plaintiff. If you answer Question No. 2 "yes,"
8 then answer the next question.

9 QUESTION NO. 3: Was the plaintiff negligent?

10 Answer "yes" or "no."

11 Yes No

12 _____

13 If you answer Question No. 3 "no," then go to Question No. 6. If
14 you answer Question No. 3 "yes," then answer the next question.

15 QUESTION NO. 4: Was the negligence of the plaintiff a
16 proximate cause of injury to such plaintiff?

17 Answer "yes" or "no."

18 Yes No

19 Answer: _____

20 If you answer Question No. 4 "no," then go to Question No. 6.
21 If you answer Question No. 4 "yes," then answer the next question.

22 QUESTION NO. 5: Assuming that 100% represents the total
23 negligence which was the proximate cause of the plaintiff's injury,
24 what percentage of this 100% is due to the negligence of

25

26

1 the plaintiff, and what percentage of this 100% is due to the
negligence of the defendant?

2 Answer (a) to the plaintiff _____%

3 Answer (b) to the defendant _____%

4 TOTAL 100 %

5 If in answer to this Question No. 5 you found the plaintiff's
6 negligence to be more than 50%, then sign and return this verdict
7 and the court will enter judgment in favor of the defendant and
8 against the plaintiff.

9 If in answer to this Question No. 5 you found the plaintiff's
10 negligence to be 50% or less, then answer Question No. 6, and the
11 court will reduce the amount in Question No. 6 by the percentage of
12 negligence you attributed to plaintiff in this Question No. 5.

13 QUESTION NO. 6: What are the damages, if any, suffered by
14 plaintiff?

15 (a). The reasonable past medical expenses plaintiff has
16 necessarily incurred as a result of the accident.

17 Answer: _____.

18 (B). Plaintiff's loss of income as a result of the accident.

19 Answer: _____.

20 (d). The physical and mental pain, suffering, anguish and
21 disability endured by the plaintiff from the date of the accident
22 to the present.

23 Answer: _____.

24 (e). The physical and mental pain, suffering, anguish and
25 disability which you believe plaintiff is reasonably certain to

experience in the future as a result of the accident.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

Answer: _____.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2012.

/s/

Foreperson

Stephen Kent

From: cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2012 8:11 AM
To: cmecfhelpdesk@nvd.uscourts.gov
Subject: Activity in Case 3:11-cv-00007-HDM-VPC Beach v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Jury Verdict

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please **DO NOT RESPOND** to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

United States District Court

District of Nevada

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 11/6/2012 at 8:11 AM PST and filed on 11/1/2012

Case Name: Beach v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Case Number: 3:11-cv-00007-HDM-VPC

Filer:

Document Number: 70

Docket Text:

JURY VERDICT.(DRM)

3:11-cv-00007-HDM-VPC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Stephen S Kent skent@skentlaw.com, kwoodward@skentlaw.com, mporter@skentlaw.com, smetcalf@skentlaw.com, sparke@skentlaw.com

Terry A Friedman tfriedmanlaw@yahoo.com, juliethroop@gmail.com, sbfriedmanlaw@gmail.com

Julie McGrath Throop juliethroop@gmail.com

3:11-cv-00007-HDM-VPC Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1101333072 [Date=11/6/2012] [FileNumber=5950907-0

] [5c9e61665528a496ede6b47b0c7440ffb88b721768208ca20e38bf03606c30ab008
664f5fbb428b38503950302b5059be7c5a1604097810f57674a304af1129f]]